

# Identity

Magazine of the British National Party

December 2008 - Issue 97 - £2.40

## 2008 ANNUAL CONFERENCE

**The best organised British National Party  
event ever brings 2008 to a climax**



***This Month***

**Nick Griffin looks  
forward to 2009**

**Nationalism and the global  
financial crisis**



# News

## Tale of Two Teachers

Remember the case going back to last year of BNP activist Adam Walker, who was suspended from his teaching post at Houghton Kepier College, near Sunderland, because he accessed the BNP web site? He has still to face the General Teaching Council (GTC) and could become the first teacher banned for 'religious intolerance'.

Now if he had been caught accessing pornography online during school lessons *at the same school* he would have just been 'disciplined' but allowed to continue teaching. That is exactly what happened with Darren Adamson, who also dialed premium rate sex phone line numbers over a two-month period. So, according to the GTC, teachers accessing hardcore sex web sites during school are acceptable, but those who access the web site of a legally registered political party are not!

## Loopholes in Immigrants Points System

Research by Migrationwatch shows that a minimum of 75,000 non-EU workers are able to find loopholes every year in the so-called 'tough' new immigration points system. It allows thousands of foreign workers to come to Britain just to look for work. It shows Brown's pledge of creating "British jobs for British workers" to be another long-term electioneering scam.

Picking up on the Migrationwatch work, Frank Field of the new Commons cross-party group on immigration said: "What isn't known is that, for the last few years, tens of thousands of non-EU citizens have been given jobs in the UK, and there has been no obligation for any of these jobs to be advertised here first."

Another category in the loopholes through which immigrants are still pouring includes the so-called "shortage occupations". These are jobs for which firms can bring in foreign labour and include intra-company transfers and international students moving in to employment once in Britain.

## SNP Give £200,000 for 'MacMohammed'

Thanks to a £200,000 grant from the

Scottish National Party, an Islamic festival was celebrated on St. Andrew's Day, November 30<sup>th</sup>, on the hallowed turf of Hampden Park, Scotland's national stadium. The SNP is actively encouraging the support of Scotland's 50,000 Muslims and the £200,000 was given to set up the Scottish Islamic Foundation.

No wonder the crown is beginning to slip from the head of Alex Salmond, Scotland's phoney 'nationalist'.

## Olympic Mosque a "Breeding Ground for Extremists"

Dr Philip Lewis, an inter-faith adviser to the Bishop of Bradford, has warned that building a mosque next to the London Olympic site could create a breeding ground for extremists. He added that it would threaten to establish a ghetto of Muslims taught to embrace jihad.

Tablighi Jamaat, the group behind the proposed giant mosque, which would be four times larger than our largest cathedral, has an extreme reading of Islam and has the following of the liquid bomb plotters found guilty last month. The suicide bombers who carried out the July 2005 London bombings also went to meetings held by the group.

## Disappearing Immigrant Traffic Wardens

Half of a council's traffic wardens have either quit their jobs or been sacked after management began carrying out immigration checks.

The 48 members of staff, who patrolled the streets of Lambeth, south London, either resigned or have been fired after they were asked to bring in their passports. Many had been issuing tickets for several years.

## ID Cards "Are Bunkum"

Harvey Mattinson, a consultant at the government information technology arm of GCHQ, said last month that claims that a national ID card would prevent terrorist attacks are "absolute bunkum". He said that the cards' only real value would be to help state bodies share information. Yes, that is

just what they would like in this ever growing Orwellian world and the Brussels commissars would like it too.

Dame Stella Rimington, former head of MI5, has also questioned the value of ID cards in fighting terrorism.

## Tory Advisor To Blame on Icelandic Bank Loses

The six local authorities facing the largest losses (totalling £207 million) from the collapse of the Icelandic banks were all advised by the same company, Butlers. It is owned by the treasurer of the Tory party, Michael Spencer, who has a fortune of £1 billion. This information appeared in *The Independent*, 18.10.08.

## Welcome Aboard

Two prominent Labour and Tory politicians from the district of Ashfield, East Midlands, have resigned from their parties in disgust and joined the BNP.

Former chairman of Ashfield District Council, Edward Homes, says: "Serving the community was the proudest time of my political career. Unfortunately the Labour party I represented no longer cares for the local people. The Labour party of today is not the party that represents Britain's working class."

Former chairman of Ashfield Conservative Association Lionel Buck says: "Having served in the Army, I joined the Conservative Party in 1986 and was delighted to be elected chairman of the Ashfield Association. Especially under Cameron, the Tories now tout new policies around like confetti, dumping traditional Tory values in their efforts to be like NuLabour."

# identity

Editor: John Bean  
Design: Mark Collett  
December 2008

Public reference:  
ISSN 1469-7971  
Subscriptions Dept:  
PO Box 17, Amlwch,  
LL68 8AB

Tel: 0871 050 0238  
subscriptions@bnp.org.uk  
www.identitymagazine.org.uk  
Articles should be submitted to the  
addresses below in  
typed/electronic format:  
PO Box 97, Newmarket,  
Suffolk CB8 1WT.  
Email: identity@bnp.org.uk





# PC Brain-washing Has Priority in Education



The near collapse of the global capitalist system; the accelerating change in the racial make-up of these Britannic Isles; and how both will be affected by a), the power of the president elect of the United States and b), the supremacy of EU legislation over that of our own Parliament, are the issues with the most imminent affect upon our national well-being. Because of this, we can be tempted to put off the problems associated with our disastrous education system. This is a mistake and the BNP should be putting out its stall for inspection now.

Countless billions have been spent on education over the past 30 years - the budget this year is £76.3billion - but the standards have in fact fallen, despite an increase in the number of students awarded A-levels, with 97% now achieving a pass rate. It is interesting to note that a couple of years back, in a Channel 4 programme, 28 high flying GCSE pupils were transported back to a fifties state boarding school and they failed nearly half their O-levels.

Despite New Labour's target of seeing 50% of our children going on to universities, this has yet to be achieved, although this year nearly 420,000 are now receiving a University education. This can, of course, range from a worthwhile Oxbridge education, down to a bog standard so-called university, which is really an old style polytechnic, such as the Borough Polytechnic, South London, (where a few years back I obtained a City & Guilds credit in paint resin chemistry) and which now calls itself the University of the South Bank. Like so many Polytechnics of the past, it also offered courses for the less academic, such as building, plumbing, courses for electricians, etc, most of which are now non-existent.

Not every student is suitable for a university education and the BNP calls for modern colleges that will take the place of the old polytechnics, offering good practical education in skilled trades and technologies. This would meet a need that is more important than the awarding of degrees by bottom tier universities on such doubtful subjects as history of pop art, media studies, or even black history.

Unfortunately many of those who are leaving university with a degree today have literacy and numeracy levels that are lower than a decade ago. This is the view of the Institute of Directors who said recently that 71% of its members believe new recruits have worse writing skills and lower numeracy, and 52% believe there has been a drop in the basic ability to communicate.

Perhaps even more important is the falling number of students taking the "harder subjects", such as science (and I don't mean the nonsense of "social science") and mathematics. Durham University has recently pointed out that this threatens our future in high tech industries.

Before anything can be done about

improving the quality of university education we must, of course, give priority to sorting out the politically correct chaos, completely lacking in any discipline, that is strangling our schools. The result is that over the past ten years our schools have plummeted down every international league table. We have dropped from fourth to 14<sup>th</sup> in science, seventh to 17<sup>th</sup> in English (!) and eighth to 24<sup>th</sup> in maths.

## Marxist Brainwashing

Instead of showing any real concern about this indictment of our educational system (remember that the rot set in during the Tory years), the Government now proposes that every school in England will get a specialist anti-racist teacher "to promote tolerance and combat racism". One teacher from every secondary school will be invited on a two-day course to ensure themes surrounding the Nazi genocide are treated sensitively in the classroom. "Once taught, the new expert staff members will also be encouraged to lead other lessons on multiculturalism and fighting extremism" - *Daily Telegraph* 07.11.08.

No objections to this proposed Marxist brainwashing have yet been heard from the Tories or Lib Dems. It is obvious that equating those who reject multiculturalism in Britain, i.e. the BNP, with Nazism has priority over trying to improve our children's proper education.


A guideline to how we can achieve this is given in the short version of the BNP election manifesto: "EDUCATION - Discipline, Standards, Achievement! We are against the 'trendy' teaching methods that have made Britain one of the most poorly educated nations in Europe. We will end the practice of politically correct indoctrination in all its guises and we will restore discipline in the classroom, give authority back to teachers and put far greater emphasis on training young people in the industrial and technological skills necessary in the modern world. We will also seek to instil in our young people knowledge of and pride in the history, cultures and heritage of the native peoples of Britain."

Where do grammar schools fit into this, you may ask? The BNP certainly does not advocate the closing of any grammar schools but, particularly with our emphasis on the need to develop trade, industrial and technological skills, we want to maximise the best education facilities available for all aspects of education, which will include secondary modern schools and religion-based schools. Grammar schools, which should of course be open to academically bright children from poor or rich families, will be safe in BNP hands. There are currently 164 surviving grammar schools (including my old one at Sidcup) - the BNP would re-establish them all.

John Bean



# 2009 - Looking Ahead to a Crucial Year



» 2008 started with controversy and manufactured disruption for the BNP, but turned into a year of very significant advances both in terms of internal organisation and external publicity coups. This steady progress is now being rounded off with recruitment and public awareness campaigns on a larger and more ambitious scale than anything previously undertaken. But rather than reflect on a year of patient preparation and steady advance, Nick Griffin uses his final article of 2008 to look ahead to next year and to two of the biggest electoral challenges and opportunities the British National Party has so far faced.

2009 could make us or, while it won't break us, provide such disappointment that we could take a year to get over it. So this is no time for either over-optimism or for excessive caution - it's time for ultra-realism.

The great opportunity, and the corresponding dangers, come of course from the European Election on Thursday 4<sup>th</sup> June. Our opponents are already in a near frenzy over the possibility of our winning seats; many of our supporters and activists are convinced we are going to win seats, and in order to raise the huge sum of money needed to stand a chance of winning

we have to appeal on the basis that we are likely to win. But the blunt truth is that we may not do so, and that we certainly will not win in the majority of the regional constituencies.

It is true that, at present, the auguries look pretty good. By next spring the economic downturn should be biting in to the Labour vote with a vengeance, quite possibly enough to put a seat previously held by Labour up for grabs in each of the larger regions.

Among our smaller party rivals, events are also moving in our favour. The hard







times will tend to push environmental issues to the back of the popular agenda, damaging the Greens' chances of doing anything other than slicing some extra votes off Labour. More important for us, the current civil war within UKIP is eating away at their capability to raise funds and mount the grass-roots campaign that is so crucial to electoral credibility for any extra-parliamentary party.

There is still a serious possibility that the Powers That Be will step up their UKIP

life-support operation from the now blatant plugging of Nigel Farage on *Question Time* to provide them with a fresh Robert Kilroy-Silk. Overall, however, the party is now such damaged goods among rank-and-file anti-EU activists that even the Brussels Broadcasting Corporation is unlikely to produce anything like the artificial boost that they gave the yellow-purple safety valve last time around.

We are aware of behind-the-scenes efforts by several different groups to boost or create a new false flag operation in the hope of siphoning off our votes, but even if this is done - and whether it were to involve Noel Edmonds, the Muslim English Democrats (who are clearly already being artificially funded and 'plugged'), or a civic nationalist group packed with black evangelicals - it would be more likely to split what remains of UKIP's vote than to con electors away from us.

In the bigger regions particularly, the PR system involved means that it could well be that all we have to do to win a seat is to beat UKIP by one vote. Hence the creation or promotion of another civic nationalist party could easily end up helping rather than hindering us. This would be a wonderful illustration of the workings of the Law of Unintended Consequences.

With that in the minds of our less blinkered opponents, one alternative no doubt under consideration is the use of one of the extreme fringe groups way to our 'right' to split our vote, but here too there is a possible problem. Even if they could find a plausible way to supply one or other of these crank operations with enough money to run an effective campaign, the presence of an extremist group on the ballot paper, and even the delivery of their election material to millions of homes, could very easily blow back in the faces of its secret backers.

First, such a stunt would be illegal and - given the notoriously loose-lipped nature of such groups - distinctly risky. Second, having genuine extremists stand would help us stress our moderate, common-sense, voter-friendly position. Third, another set of anti-immigration leaflets dropping through doors could help to raise the factor as an election issue, leaving the far better known and better organised BNP to come out tops in credibility terms and Hoover up not just our votes but most of the extra ones mobilised by their propaganda. This is the most likely result if, for example, some 'generous' sugar daddy appeared from nowhere to give the rump of the National Front enough money to stand.

Finally, 2009 might be a hot summer, making it distinctly unwise for our opponents to try to split our vote by distributing large amounts of hard-core racist leaflets - dropping lighted matches around near powder kegs is a very high risk dirty trick to play. *Searchlight* and the security services undoubtedly make use of

false flag groups on the Internet to try to lure the inexperienced and excitable away from our serious challenge to the status quo, but there is a world of difference between posting a few 'hardline' comments in the murkier corners of cyberspace, and spending tens of thousands of pounds to deliver millions of racist leaflets in the hope of shaving a few votes off the BNP.

All of which means that the truly unknown factor which could do the most damage to our chances is the fair possibility that, by June next year, voters will be so hysterically desperate to get rid of 'Broon' that they'll stampede to back David Cameron's Pale Pink Tories.

Just at present there is a significant amount of public respect for what is perceived to be Brown's experience and decisiveness in dealing with the banking crisis, such that if he were to call a snap election early in the New Year he might win. This will, however, change very quickly when it becomes clear that the bank bail-outs have failed to rescue the real economy, that the extra debt is crushing taxpayers, and that people in real jobs don't qualify for bail-outs. Precisely when this switch in the public's collective head will take place is impossible to predict, but if it happens before next June then the Tories - deeply useless though they are - will be the main beneficiaries.

With that in mind we are at present experimenting with a very well-conceived anti-Conservative leaflet in the south east of England and, if it produces a decent response, it could be a very useful item for wider scale use in a double drop operation together with our County Council election addresses in more middle class areas next spring.

Overall, however, the flow of public opinion and tabloid press propaganda in such circumstances would in practical terms leave us with little to do except concentrate on making our own campaign as effective as possible and hoping that the Tory surge leads to them picking up Labour's lost seats while pulling enough votes back from UKIP to complete that party's electoral collapse and free up their old seats for us.

## EFFECTIVE CAMPAIGN

So what must we do to make our campaign as effective as possible?

The first thing is to understand that the Euro-contest must not be considered or planned for in isolation. For a start because if the Brown credit crunch bounce has not crashed and broken by next April, the General Election will be called for June 4<sup>th</sup> as well. Given the current speed at which the bankers' crisis is spreading into and poisoning the real economy this does not at present appear particularly likely, but it is nevertheless still a possibility.

One added attraction of such a combined contest for a Labour party which



is riddled with anti-BNP hatred and hysteria is their strategists' belief that the resulting higher turnout would reduce our chances of winning seats. Whether this is actually true is debatable, but the fact that they believe it is will be a factor pushing Brown towards a June 4<sup>th</sup> General Election unless it would be politically suicidal.

That would present us with the task of raising a large amount more money in order to pay for the parliamentary deposits - anywhere between £60,000 for a concentrated campaign in about 120 seats, and £300,000 if we decided instead to go for a huge increase in the number of seats contested in order to maximise our total vote so as to secure the largest possible amount of state funding should we in due course succeed in crossing the threshold required to receive it.

The proposals under consideration at present would give parties in receipt of state funding 60p per year for every vote received at the previous General Election, so to lose a £500 deposit in a poor seat which only gave us 500 votes would still, over five years, be a very good investment with a return of 300% in the course of a full parliament.

### FRANTICALLY SCRABBLING

That said, the parliamentary parties are frantically scrabbling around for a formula which would let them and the phoney opposition Greens and UKIP into the state funding club, while keeping us out of it. If they find a way to do that, then we would do far better to continue with the strategy that has served us well in the last two General Elections and concentrate our efforts in a relatively small number of seats - still an expensive operation when one adds in the cost of election addresses.

Even if events have moved on so as to force Brown to hang on until the last minute and avoid a General Election until the spring of 2010, the European contest in June 2009 will in several important ways only be a stepping stone towards the one for Westminster - and further growth beyond that.

This is most obviously because success in winning a seat or seats in Brussels would give us such a boost in terms of credibility and income that it would greatly increase our chance of winning in the handful of once rock solid Labour Westminster parliamentary seats where we are even now on the verge of establishing ourselves as the effective local alternative to Brown & Co.

Our opponents are at present driving themselves frantic with worry over our serious potential to win between one and three seats in the European Parliament, but several seats in Westminster would be an incalculably bigger prize and - although making such a breakthrough in a general election is still very much an outside chance - it would be far more possible if we had

already won seats in Brussels.

Less obviously, but almost as important, we intend to send out 29 million European election addresses via Freepost next May, and to have a well-staffed professional call centre and mass mailing operation ready to process the enquiries which those leaflets will generate - a harvest of somewhere between 25,000 and 40,000 new sympathetic names and addresses.

Provided we can raise the money to achieve these core goals, then around one in six of those inquiries will be turned either into a new member or donor. These figures are not pulled out of thin air, they are based on well-established professional fund-raising knowledge and on the experience we have already developed in our first year of applying that knowledge to our own operations.

This is not 'pie in the sky', it is a real prize that is already within our grasp - as long as we raise enough money to enter the game by the time we have to pay our printers at the start of next April.

This in turn will feed into our ability to contest an autumn or spring 2010 General Election that much more effectively. Most important of all, however, the increase in activism, good quality candidates and income that will result will position us well for our real lift-off time: When an electorate that is sick of Labour has voted in without enthusiasm a liberal Tory government which promptly turns out to be utterly incapable of making things any better.

While only John Bean and a few other old hands have direct experience of such a time, the period between 1970 and 1974 when Edward Heath was Prime Minister produced the most rapid surge in the growth of the British nationalist movement (a surge in which I was a very young recruit). There is no doubt that the same thing will happen again, except that many other circumstances are now so much worse that the welcome trend in our favour is likely to be very much stronger.

## Euro Election Check List - what you can do to help

- ✓ Get three Penny Boxes (email [dispatch@bnp.org.uk](mailto:dispatch@bnp.org.uk)) and get two friends to join you saving loose change for the BNP Euro fund
- ✓ Write a letter to your local paper expressing your 'fear' that the collapse of UKIP will lead to the BNP being the only effective anti-EU party standing at the European election and urging the local Labour party to press Gordon Brown to give us the referendum he promised in order to help stop the BNP being elected
- ✓ Send the names and addresses of three friends who you think might be willing to give a donation if asked professionally, to [fund-raiser@bnp.org.uk](mailto:fund-raiser@bnp.org.uk)
- ✓ Order 1,000 copies of our highly successful glossy recruitment leaflet and put out 100 each week in buses, trains, libraries, news stands, etc (call 0871 050 0241 to pay by credit card)
- ✓ Contact your local BNP organiser and volunteer to help on the next street or door-to-door *Freedom* sale







So it is that the full impact of a successful (i.e. well-funded distribution of 29 million top quality leaflets) European campaign next spring will not be felt primarily in the Kiplingesque triumph or disaster of the count and the allocation of MEP seats, but in the local elections held the first May after David Cameron has been in power for a full year. Despite the fact that a week is a long time in politics, politics is a long-term game.

### LARGE-SCALE FUND RAISING

The key to achieving our goals in the European elections is activity-driven publicity and successful fund-raising on a larger scale than ever before. We will need £60,000 in deposits, £290,000 for A4 election addresses, and £60,000 for the call centre, central administration, party political broadcasts and to respond to and 'massage' the inquiries. £410,000 in total, a huge sum of money at any time, especially as it has to go on top of the everyday running costs of an increasingly sophisticated party machine, and must be raised in the teeth of a once-in-a-lifetime economic crunch.

Fortunately, while the European contest is mainly about money, the key to turning those new inquirers into the extra activists, candidates and councillors of the future is grass-roots organisation.

To an extent this is already happening: Local activity levels are now at an all-time high and are steadily increasing; the quality of training seminars in our most go-ahead regions is exceptional; our experience in deep-root community politics, although still patchy, is increasing by leaps and bounds.

'All' we have to do is to keep up the activity levels in places already covered, and work to fill in the gaps; to spread our best-practice training events from three or four regions to all twelve of them; and to turn initiatives such as clean-ups, pensioners' support groups, Community Observation Patrols, BNP-inspired residents' associations, local Patriot leaflets and local video teams from being experimental exceptions into British National Party norms.

Achieving that list may sound like a tall order, but there's nothing at all in it that is not already being done, successfully and sustainably, by local BNP activists in all sorts of very different areas. Our future continued and broader advances are therefore in our hands, and our hands alone.

Naturally, an economic crisis on the scale of the one into which we are all being plunged cannot pass without making a huge impact - for both good and ill - on any radical political movement.

For a while, many members of the public may become even more selfish and introspective, still ignoring the bigger picture around them, no longer because

"I'm alright, Jack" but precisely because they are no longer finding things are "alright". Others, however, will be pushed by harder times over the edge of some personal line in the sand from fear disguised as 'tolerance' and into belated involvement and action.

Judging by past history and commonsense alike, more people will get involved, but the average amount of money each will be able to give will fall. Many who cannot afford money will, however, be able to give their time, especially when they are shown that selling our newspaper *Freedom* not only helps spread the word but can also be a very useful source of income support in hard times.

And, of course, somewhere a bit further down the line, some event - perhaps big like a London 9/11, perhaps small like the beating of Walter Chamberlain in Oldham in 2001 that broke a fifty year-old taboo on having nationalist spokesmen in the media - will be the trigger for one of the sudden paradigm shifts by which great political changes which have long been growing in the dark suddenly emerge into the light of day.

All the pent up, 'soft' sympathy that our leafleters, paper sellers and canvassers nowadays enjoy the length and breadth of the country will suddenly coalesce into solid support.

We all know that it is coming, even though we cannot say exactly when or how it will happen. Only one thing needs to be said at the end of this year of tested resolve and quiet rewards: I hope you know by now that while the coming of our moment of opportunity is guaranteed by fate and by the laws of History, the question of whether we will be in a position to seize that opportunity will be answered by your actions before the moment itself arrives.

For moments of decision are not grasped and turned to triumph by those who try to do so at the last minute. They benefit rather those who have organised - painstakingly, relentlessly, with iron self-discipline - not in theory in their heads or on paper or with little groups righting the world in pubs, but in the real world among their neighbours and their workmates, working with real people who let them down or infuriate them or who fight like ferrets in a sack, but who are the only allies available.

Only those who understand this have a chance of winning, and even then many fall short. Some prepare but are impatient, and they and their causes fail because they demand results yesterday and so do not organise for tomorrow. Some are patient but fail to prepare, sitting and waiting for some 'collapse', so that even if it comes they can do nothing to take advantage of it.

What we must nurture and develop is the rarest of combinations, patience and preparation. Our time will come, so we must be ready.



Nick Griffin speaks in a rally with Czech nationalists in Wenceslas Square

An impressive turn out and a large projection screen created an instant media buzz



All European patriots must stand together to oppose the entry of Turkey into the EU because it will bring all sorts of problems on a scale which will dwarf that of the already existing problems in Europe, BNP leader Nick Griffin has said.

With this in mind the BNP Chairman has recently travelled to both the Czech Republic and Hungary to meet with fellow nationalists before next year's crucial European Elections - as together European-wide nationalist organisations can work more effectively to stop the creeping Islamification of our continent.

In Hungary Nick addressed one of many rallies held in the city to commemorate the 1956 uprising against the Communist regime in that country, Mr Griffin said that while nationalists in Hungary stood alone in 1956, in 2008 all European nationalists were standing together.

Speaking through an interpreter, Mr Griffin said that the ghost of Stalin was still alive in the shape of the European Union. "The EU too seeks to take away our freedoms and rights," he said, to an enthusiastic response from the 6,000 strong crowd.

Mr Griffin was invited to Budapest to address the rally by the Jobbik party

(Movement for a Better Hungary). Their lead Euro Candidate, Dr Krisztina Morvai, and a 1956 Uprising veteran, Gyula Kosa, also addressed the crowd.

Other speakers at rallies across the city included Italian Forza Nuova leader Roberto Fiore, an Italian MEP, who spoke of the international banking crisis and well-known Hungarian activists Laszlo Toroczkai and Gyorgy Budahazy.

After a whistle-stop tour of Budapest and a few days on purely BNP work in the UK, it was off to the Czech republic to carry on spreading the message of unity and cooperation between fellow

# European Nationalist Rally Against Islam





# nationalists

# Islam

nationalists.

Speaking before a crowd of Czech nationalists on Wenceslas Square in Prague marking the 90th anniversary of the Czech liberation from the Austro-Hungarian Empire, Mr Griffin said that the introduction of millions of Muslims in Turkey into the EU would particularly affect those Eastern European countries who have till now been unmarked by multiculturalism and Islamism.

"It will drive down wages, living standards and increase taxes," he said, telling the crowd that his father had served in World War II in the Royal Air Force, and that some of his father's best comrades were Czechs who fought alongside Britain.

"The totalitarianism against which we fight now is the creeping tyranny of federal Europe. This is why we urgently need representation in the European parliament to sound the alarm about fraud, corruption and power grabs by the ruling elite, who seek a union of North Africa and Europe into Eurabia," he said.

Other speakers at the rally included Czech National Party (CNP) leader Dr. Petra Edelmánová and Marc Abramson from the Swedish National Democrats. In the crowd were several BNP members who proudly carried British flags alongside the Czech national flag.

At the end of the rally, the local mayor, who had previously been taken to court by the CNP and was itching for revenge, arrived with a large crowd of police and announced the entirely peaceful rally was now banned. As the meeting had already ended, this had no effect on proceedings except to excite the already plentiful media, resulting in a large amount of instant TV coverage.

With the Euro Elections in June 2009 fast approaching it is heartening to see not only British people, but all the peoples of Europe standing up against the threat of Islam and the Islamification of our once ethnically stable and Christian Europe. Only by working together we can truly set Britain and Europe free.



The present global financial crisis will not have come as a surprise to anyone who has ever attended a British National Party meeting. For years, BNP party chairman Nick Griffin has been warning his audiences about the perils of the unsustainable credit bubble and the inevitable reckoning to follow.

The 'credit crunch' and the resultant banking collapse have utterly discredited the twin doctrines of globalisation and unrestrained international capitalism. There is now likely to be a period of fierce competition between different ideas about what should take their place. Indeed, there is even a risk that we may now witness a resurgence of sterile Marxist-Leninist economics, as old-fashioned state socialists try to portray their bankrupt theories as the only possible alternative to "red in tooth and claw" capitalism.

In fact, there is a coherent and intellectually rigorous alternative to the false dichotomy between Marx and Capital, in the form of Economic Nationalism, the economic system favoured by the British National Party. Economic Nationalism is not a new concept; it has been tried and tested and, especially in South-East Asia, it has proved hugely successful. South Korea,

Taiwan and Malaysia all sustained spectacular rates of economic growth for decades, by pursuing versions of the Economic Nationalist model.

Under the doctrine of Economic Nationalism, a British Nationalist government would allow small and medium enterprises (SMEs) a high degree of freedom, whilst at the same time intervening robustly in the affairs of large corporations to ensure they align their business strategies with the British national interest. Strategically important industries in sectors such as Aerospace and Electronics would be encouraged to invest in research and development and to export aggressively from behind protective tariff barriers.

Strong share market regulation would ensure that major British enterprises would be owned and controlled exclusively by British people. In South Korea, similar policies led to the emergence of world-class Korean enterprises such as Hyundai and Samsung, which both promoted Korean national interests and actually manufactured high quality industrial products of real value to ordinary people!

A British Nationalist government would encourage alternative forms of enterprise to develop in a mixed economy alongside



**National  
Global C**



traditional private limited companies. Under a Nationalist administration, we would certainly see a resurgence of regional building societies, workers' cooperatives and successful trust companies like the John Lewis organisation. The financial services sector, in particular, desperately needs to be re-organised along Economic Nationalist principles. The credit crunch and the banking crisis have stemmed directly from a gross failure of financial services regulation. Other than the bankers and City spivs themselves, nobody bears a greater share of the blame for the financial disaster than the Financial Services Authority (FSA).

### THE FSA

The FSA was Gordon Brown's pet creature and he placed huge reliance on it as the financial regulator of choice, taking over many of the traditional responsibilities of the Bank of England. For years, the FSA vomited out vast forests of vague "principles-based" regulation, which firms were expected to interpret themselves, with little or no assistance from an unresponsive and irresponsible FSA. Not surprisingly, the result was an anarchic free-for-all in financial services. A future Nationalist government would abolish the FSA and rip up all the "principles-based" guidance issued by it. All this failed regulation must be replaced by a detailed and specific rules-based regulatory structure, strongly entrenched in statute law.

The City originally invented mortgage-backed securities, derivatives and other complex instruments in a genuine attempt to serve the need of legitimate business to hedge and defray real trading risks. But the system evolved into institutional abuse when the dealing of such instruments became a business objective in

itself. As the financial instruments grew more complex and opaque, senior bank executives also became progressively more negligent in their failure to understand the so-called "products" they were marketing. The banks' risk management models and internal controls proved incapable of identifying and managing the toxic risks inherent in their own financial instruments. It is now time for this market in madness to be consigned to history. The City of London must rediscover its roots as the servant, not the master, of manufacturing industry, which should be the prime source of wealth creation in any modern economy.

Under a future British Nationalist government, a specific statutory framework would be developed to dictate not only the banks' minimum capital requirements, but also the specific instruments permitted to be sold. Furthermore, a British Nationalist government would maintain proprietary shareholder stakes in all the major banks, and appoint Compliance Directors to the banks' Audit & Risk Committees, to ensure both that effective internal controls are in place, and that the statutory regulations are being observed.

Unlike stale Marxist economics, Economic Nationalism has a proven record of successful wealth-creation on a massive scale. Unlike Global Capitalism, Economic Nationalism will allow this wealth to be distributed fairly within the British Nation, rather than being monopolised by a tiny international elite.

In the realm of economic policy, as with immigration and multiculturalism, the BNP has proved it is capable of diagnosing the ills of our age and forecasting the resulting crises years in advance. But the party also promotes credible Nationalist remedies, which alone can promise a prosperous future for our children.

» Popular BNP website writer George Fanning says the global financial crisis has vindicated our economic nationalism.

# ism & the redit Crisis





The first thing to make clear is that the Peak Oil scenario does not suggest that oil will run out tomorrow. This is because all oil production follows a 'bell-curve' production pattern. This is true whether we are discussing an individual oil field, the oil production of a region or country or indeed, the oil production of the whole planet.

Were a graph to be drawn, showing oil production from whatever source, then this graph would resemble a bell or bell-curve shape - a shape with which I'm sure we are all familiar. Oil production begins slowly then climbs swiftly, levels off and finally reaches the point of maximum production or Peak Oil. Thereafter oil production begins to fall, slowly at first but then with increasing swiftness until finally levelling off prior to complete exhaustion of the oil.

Dr. Marion Kink Hubbert, an American oil geologist working for Shell back in the 1950s is accredited with discerning the Peak Oil, bell-curve pattern. From his many observations of oil wells and fields throughout the country he observed that Peak Oil invariably follows peak discovery by some 40 years. Thus he was able to predict, in 1956, that American oil production would peak around 1970, peak discovery having occurred in 1930. Although originally dismissed, his ideas were proven to be correct when American oil production peaked, right on cue, in 1970.

With this in mind it is well to note that worldwide peak discovery occurred in 1964 some 44 years ago. This being so, worldwide Peak Oil is, statically at least, upon us. Indeed, I, along with many others, believe Peak Oil occurred in 2005 for in that year the world produced some 85 million barrels of oil per day (mbpd) and now, three years later, the world is still producing some 85 mbpd. Demand however, has since risen to 87 mbpd.

This is very significant indeed, for up to 2005 the demand for oil dictated the production of oil. As the demand for oil rose over the years, oil producers have, up to 2005, been able to match this increase in demand with a corresponding increase in production. However, 2005 saw a fundamental change to this demand equals production equilibrium, for since that time, demand alone no longer dictates production. Production is instead dictated by geology and this new and unprecedented condition has created a small shortfall between the demand for oil and the supply of oil.

However, this small and seemingly innocuous gap, between the supply and demand, is of extreme importance. For the first time in the history of The Age of Oil, there is now a disparity between production and demand. It is this disparity, not the fear that oil will run out tomorrow, that lies at the very heart of the problems we now face with Peak Oil. As production is now

dictated by geology, rather than demand, this disparity can do nothing but get wider as time goes by.

Indeed, we do not have to speculate as to what a shortfall in the supply of oil might do to our economy. We have a precedent from which to draw guidance, when OPEC in 1973 curtailed oil exports to the USA and other Western nations to protest their support for Israel in the Yom Kippur War. This caused a shortfall, between supply and demand, of around 5%. This seemingly small and insignificant shortfall had a devastating effect on Western economies, including our own here in the UK. Factories, shops, offices and schools, indeed, the whole of the UK economy was forced to slow down because of material shortages and all too frequent power-cuts, with many businesses forced to operate only three days per week.

Thus we see that even a very small shortfall in the supply of oil can have devastating effects on society that seem, at first glance, to be out of all proportion, but such is the nature of the beast, a small shortfall means huge social and economic consequences. Indeed, it has been postulated that it would take only a 10% - 15% shortfall in the supply of oil to completely shatter the economy of an oil dependent nation, such as ours, and lead to a never-ending and total economic depression the likes of which we have never before seen.

When we reach the edge of the oil production plateau upon which we now find ourselves and oil production begins to decline then it is impossible to know just what this decline rate be. Optimists give us a decline rate of 2% - 3% per annum whilst pessimists a figure of 10% - 15%. If we take the average of these we get a decline rate of around 8% and as North Sea oil production is falling at around 8% per annum then this

# Oilagedo

» Robert Farmer explains how the five percent disparity between oil production and demand creates Peak Oil problems.



# lon

rate does not seem too unrealistic.

If this proved to be the case, then worldwide oil production would fall by a staggering 50% in just eight years. Given that it would take only a 10% - 15% shortfall to completely shatter our economy, it is difficult to comprehend what a 50% shortfall might do. Even if we take the optimists' view and say that oil production will fall by just 2.5% in the first year, then please remember that to this figure we must now add the 2.5% increase in demand. The shortfall would then be 5% in just one year; the same 5% that caused all the disruption to the economy back in the '70s. A further 2.5% fall in production and corresponding 2.5% rise in demand the following year would see a shortfall of 10%, enough of a shortfall, in just two years, to completely shatter our economy.

Peak oil then, might be summarised by saying that it creates a permanent and increasing shortfall between the supply of oil and the demand for oil.

## CONSEQUENCES

Whilst the problems Peak Oil engenders now encompass the whole planet, similar

events have, in fact, occurred many times before throughout human history, albeit on a local scale. It is to these localised events I have looked for guidance and clues as to what our own fate might be in a post fossil fuel world.

We are all aware of 'lost cities' being discovered in jungles around the world and all of these lost cities represent the flourishing and eventual collapse of a localised society. Easter Island in the South Pacific is, I feel, a prime example of the flourish and eventual collapse of just such a localised society.

When the original Polynesian settlers landed on the island, around 800 AD, they found themselves on a lush and verdant island with fertile soil and abundant wildlife. However, overpopulation and over exploitation of the island's resources eventually led to a total collapse of their society. As the islanders had felled all the trees, they were unable to construct boats and so unable to escape the island or indeed their fate. Intertribal wars led to many deaths. Cannibalism became widespread as people themselves were now the only large prey animal left on the island. Thus it was that the population was reduced to a very small number of individuals who were now just able to survive on the island's all but depleted resources.

I believe Peak Oil now presents us with a very similar and equally terrifying situation to that experienced by those Earth

ancient Easter Island dwellers. Indeed, I feel Easter Island might be looked upon as a microcosm of our world today for, just like them, we too are unable to 'build boats' in order to escape our fate. There is but one 'Island Earth' and we all live upon it. Like them, we too have over exploited and overpopulated Island Earth to a point of near total collapse and Peak Oil has, I feel, the potential to be the catalyst that precipitates that collapse.

## The Present Day - reflecting the end of cheap oil

As the worldwide production of oil is now static at 85 mbpd and demand is at 87 mbpd, we are now in the post peak era and already seeing the effects of Peak Oil. These are not easily discernable or attributable to Peak Oil itself for Peak Oil might be compared to someone with the AIDS virus. It is said that no one dies of AIDS per se, as AIDS simply destroys the body's defence system and the victim dies of some other medical problem that the victim's body cannot fight. AIDS itself, it could be argued, has no obvious symptoms; it is rather the resultant medical problems that having AIDS engenders that is the cause of the victim's problems.

**We do not 'see' AIDS per se only the problems that AIDS brings about.**

**Likewise we do not 'see' Peak Oil, only the problems Peak Oil brings about.**

We are all aware of the 'credit crunch', but what is the underlying reason for the financial meltdown? Inappropriate lending by banks and other financial institutions or the fact that oil was well over \$100 a barrel and the banks have lost confidence in the future growth of the economy? We are seeing record gas and electricity prices, global economic slowdown, and riots seen in countries all over the world over the rocketing cost of food.

Even developed countries are seeing food prices at record levels and even food shortages, shortages not seen for a generation or more. Butter, for example, is unobtainable in Japan. In the USA we learn that the producers of freeze-dried food have stopped exporting their products outside mainland USA due to unprecedented local demand. Rice, flour, bread and beer, along with many other food stuffs, is being rationed by many of the larger food stores to try and maintain a reasonable level of supply.

Farmers in Vietnam are having the rice in their fields stolen at night. To try and prevent this, the Vietnamese government have banned all agricultural vehicles from the roads after sunset. The farmers themselves are standing armed guard over their fields to try and save their crops.

All these current and ongoing events are





the result of Peak Oil but, and as mentioned, we do not see Peak Oil, only the effects Peak Oil brings about.

#### **The Near Future - reflecting higher oil prices and oil shortages**

In the near future we can expect the price of oil to continue to rise, for as the shortfall in the supply of oil grows, then this will, of itself, force the price of oil ever higher, as is the case with the price of all commodities when demand outstrips supply. Even at \$100 a barrel, oil was still very cheap, only around 30p a litre, considerably less than some bottled water. But even this relatively low price is having a major impact on world economies. Imagine the effects on these economies when oil hits \$1,000 a barrel, petrol is £15 a litre and the shortfall in supply hits, say, 10%.

Just as in the '70s we shall see blackouts, food, fuel and material shortages but, unlike the '70s, these difficulties will not be short-lived but will represent a new, permanent and ever worsening situation. This could lead, eventually, to a total collapse of the economy and with this collapse will go the very foundations of our civilisation and society.

Thousands of companies will go bankrupt leaving millions of people unemployed. Life savings will be wiped out as High Street banks close their doors forever. Pension institutions will evaporate as will the pension funds they hold. Indeed, unless you are planning to retire in the next year or so then I would not bother paying into a pension fund at all.

Welfare payments will be a thing of the past. The price of houses will plummet to next to nothing as there will be tens of thousands of houses on the market, the result of the huge number of homes

repossessed from the newly unemployed. Because of negative equity, they will find that they are no longer able to either sell their homes at a price that will allow them to clear their mortgage or keep up monthly repayments with their livelihoods and savings gone.

Food will initially be very expensive and then increasingly scarce as large food producers go to the wall and food storage facilities fail through lack of electrical power. Farmers will look hopelessly on as produce rots in their fields as their tractors stand idle through want of diesel. Armed gangs may well hijack lorries carrying food, food that will then be sold on the black market.

The immediate post peak world will be a very different and infinitely more dangerous place than the illusionary world we live in today.

#### **The Long Term - reflecting the end of fossil fuels**

In a true post fossil fuel world, observers and commentators disagree as to how the world will react and what path society will ultimately follow. Some envisage a soft-landing with alternative energy sources slowly taking over from oil without too many problems. I do not see this view as realistic or possible given the low energy returns to be had from alternatives and given that we do not have the time to develop them.

Some, like author Richard Heinberg, see a future in self-contained communities and eco-villages. Again I do not see this working to any degree. If a community were successfully established and flourishing, this very success would be a magnet, attracting people from the surrounding area who would most certainly

want the new community's goodies.

Security would be impossible to maintain. Crops would be stolen before they had a chance to mature. Resistance would be met by violence and murder from desperate and hungry people - people who, in the illusionary world in which we now live, would be considered decent, honest, upright, law abiding citizens. Hunger and thirst however, soon change all that.


There also remains the small problem of numbers. I have seen many figures given for the number of people the land area of England might support in a post fossil fuel era and these figures vary tremendously with many being, in my opinion, widely optimistic.

I believe that the best and simplest guide as to how many people the land might support in a post-fossil-fuel-era is simply to look at how many people the land supported in a pre-fossil-fuel-era, which I would put, for convenience, at 1800.

The population of England in 1750 was around 6 million people, roughly the same as it had been in 1300, 450 years earlier. It was observed however, that the population in the latter half of the 18<sup>th</sup> century, seemed to be growing at a prodigious rate and fears were raised that the population was in danger of outstripping the available food supply. In order to respond to these fears and in order to better understand population growth, the British government instigated the first national census in 1801, which gives us a population figure of just over 8 million.

If we accept that this figure of 8 million represents the true number of people the land might support in a post fossil fuel world, and given that we have to return to such a population figure before communities might come into being, then this means a die-off of some 55 million





people or 90% of the population.

However, even this utterly terrifying prospect I see as being overly optimistic, for the rural community of 8 million people that existed in 1800 did not just appear overnight but was the culmination of countless generations of farmers making incremental improvements to farming methods over many thousands of years, which resulted in a vast pool of agricultural based knowledge. We do not possess that knowledge base and without it I do not believe a population of even 8 million people is at all sustainable.

Without the agricultural knowledge base needed to survive and with none of today's infrastructure in place, I envisage the population of England, in a true post fossil fuel world, plummeting to a figure of around 1 million before some kind of stability sets in - reflecting, if you will, what happened on Easter Island and indeed, to many other societies and civilisations throughout history.

As it would still be unsafe for these survivors to live in any kind of settlement or community, I see them forming small and highly mobile bands of hunter-gatherers, and, as metals would be no more, these survivors would represent the founding members of 'The Post Industrial Stone Age'.

### **Peak Oil then, may mean it's 'Back to Square One' for the human race**

#### **SOLUTION**

However, and before we all rush off and put our heads in the gas oven, this future is by no means certain and indeed, it is, to a degree, avoidable...but only if central government acts now and in a decisive and purposeful way.

The problems posed by Peak Oil are

really a problem of overpopulation and so measures need to be taken immediately to reduce population numbers.

The population of any country can increase by one of two methods or indeed, both. (1) The birth rate exceeds the death rate and (2) as a direct result of immigration. On this the UK is dealt a double whammy for we have more and more immigrants coming here, which directly increases the population. To compound this, immigrants invariably go on to have more children than the indigenous white population thus increasing the population on counts (1) and (2). To combat this we should pull out of the EU immediately - as this is an experiment gone wrong in any event - and send home all immigrants who have come to live here as a direct result of our EU membership.

Immigrants from the old commonwealth countries came here as life here was seen as better than life in their country of origin. This process must now be reversed so that life in this country is seen as worse than life in their country of origin. Then the immigrants would leave for the very same reasons they came here in the first place, specifically, to get a better life.

Immigrants and their descendants could be barred from social housing, unable to own property, have no entitlement to welfare payments, and barred from holding any public or political office. Race relations legislation should be scrapped and political incorrectness tolerated once again with people free, once more, to say what they really feel.

If these measures were introduced then many immigrants would see life in India for example, as being more attractive than life in the UK and so return of their own free will. Indeed, a cash incentive or perhaps free air travel might also help in this process.

As for the birth rate, then one child only families must be encouraged by discounting any second child as regards welfare payments and/or tax incentives etc. If this were made so, then a family might get a welfare payment of X for the first child but this sum would not be increased should more children come along. Moreover, any additional child is now going to require more state expenditure on such things as health and education, this being so, then the parents should now have a tax increase to offset this increase in state expenditure.

Having tackled the population problem we must simultaneously embark on a

program of energy conservation in order to reduce, as much as is possible, our dependence on imported energy.

HMG should, like Spain, introduce, immediately, a 50 MPH blanket speed limit on all roads. Cars with engine sizes over 1200cc should be banned altogether.

Public transport should be made free for all users at any time of the day, with this being paid for by taxing private transport. Thus, if someone wants to use a private car then they would be helping to pay for someone else to use the bus or train.

The forthcoming London based Olympic Games should be scrapped and the money put to supplying every home with solar panels and private wind turbines.

Likewise overseas aid should be curtailed and the money put to energy conservation. We shall very soon need all the help we can get here in the UK and will these overseas countries send aid to us I wonder?

It should be made illegal to heat any building above a certain temperature and/or to knowingly waste energy. How many times have you walked past a shop, in the dead of winter, to be all but blown off your feet by a blast of hot air coming from the propped open shop door?

Cheap air fares should be scrapped and people encouraged to holiday at home if at all.

Prisoners and the unemployed should be made to work the land, using only traditional farming methods, in order to better understand just how much food the land might provide without the input of fossil fuels. As a bonus, the jails would stand empty (other than really dangerous prisoners) and there would be no unemployed.

The land itself needs to be distributed in a more even and fair way, for the big landowning families in England were given their land by William the Conqueror and have retained ownership ever since. We all need to 'go back to the land' but in order to do this we have to have land to go back to. As fossil fuels will not be available in the not too distant future, we need to develop and train draft animals once more. It takes seven years, for example, to train a horse to the plough and so we need to start this training without delay.

Children should once again be taught gardening and the use of carpentry and other hand tools. Young girls should once more be taught to knit and to prepare simple meals from basic ingredients and without the aid of some electronic gizmo.

The measures outlined above may seem draconian but, and to be clear, the diminishing supply of fossil fuels means that we shall either do these things to ourselves or they will be done to us. We are at a point of transition and we must now decide where we want to go from here. Decisions need to be made and action taken without delay, for time is not on our side.



This year's Annual Conference wasn't just a success, it was the most professional and most well run BNP event ever! Building on the foundations of previous conferences and the Summer School, this year's event took things to the next level.

Beginning on the Friday with the gala dinner, guests were greeted with beautifully laid tables all prepared with red, white and blue napkins and special menus prepared for the evening. This black tie dinner was a showcase of style and sophistication and demolished any last lingering myths about 'thugs' and 'skinheads'.

Just before the gala dinner was served the Truth Truck arrived to finish off the Racism Cuts Both Ways tour. Party Chairman Nick Griffin spoke from the side of the truck telling guests about the successes of the campaign and how we'll be rolling out future Truth Truck initiatives.

The evening ended with an excellent after dinner speech made by both Mark and Adam Walker the two teachers and super-activists from Sedgefield who have both been persecuted for their political beliefs.

The Saturday was made up of a series of seminars and speeches on a number of topics. Along with the Summer School this training day is vital in order to keep filtering knowledge down to activists and organisers and making sure the party is well trained in a number of political and electoral subjects.

Each delegate was given a pack with a folder containing a sixty page conference booklet detailing all the seminars and the motions for the following day. Not only that but the conference was used to unveil the new sixty page Activists' and Organisers' handbook as well as the first in the series of

Voting Members booklets. All of these items, which were free of charge for most attendees, made the weekend great value and ensured no one left empty handed.

The Saturday evening was a chance for everyone to let their hair down and enjoy a number of different musical acts including John Walker's excellent karaoke and the entertainment went on into the early hours of the morning.

The first item on Sunday's agenda was a presentation from the party's independent professional auditor who explained the party's financial transparency to delegates and how the improved financial systems brought in by the treasury team will ensure the safety of members' money.

# Annual C





# Conference 2008

Next was the conference itself, with all Voting Members having both red and green cards to allow them to vote on future party policy. Each region submitted a motion for the conference which were debated by delegates from all over the UK. This unique right granted to our Voting Members is the reward for both activism, financial commitment and attendance at training seminars. These can be seen on page 18.

The event was closed by a rousing speech by Nick Griffin who talked about the current financial crisis engulfing the world and how the British National Party intend to offer a genuine solution. The speech, and the whole two days in fact, were available to view live on our website in another party first thanks to Simon Bennet and the multimedia team - if you missed it you can view it now by going to [www.bnp.org.uk](http://www.bnp.org.uk)!



## London

## Motion

This Party believes that bus lanes throughout the UK should be opened up to motorcyclists. The aim is to encourage people to ride safely and make use of the bus lanes which are in place.

# PASSED

## Scotland

## Motion

This Party demands that the British government reduces tax on petrol and diesel to a level that puts us on a par with the rest of the world and stops using the British public as a cash cow for their socialist idealist squandering.

# PASSED

## North West

## Motion

The Party believes that the traditional family unit consisting of a married man and wife with child(ren) is the foundation block of British society. Therefore, in order to have a strong society, the need to uphold the traditional family unit is paramount and must be preserved and promoted. The Party will seek to act in a child's best interests by ensuring, where possible, that the child will grow and develop in the best environment for its needs, surrounded by love from both its natural parents and extended families.

1. Legal Presumption to Contact Currently there is no 'legal presumption' to contact. The BNP will introduce a legal presumption to contact as this will be acting in the child's best interest by ensuring a continued relationship with both its parents. In addition, all special dates such as birthdays, Christmas etc. shall be split between the parents.

2. Best interests of the child principle In the event of a relationship breakdown it is in the child's best interest that the child maintains a loving relationship via direct contact with both parents unless there is a lawful reason why that relationship cannot continue. The Party also recognises that men and women have qualities that are different, but equal, and are both essential ingredients for the successful upbringing of children.

3. The Civil Partnership Act 2004 The Civil Partnership Act 2004 that came into force on 5th December 2005 and enables same sex couples to obtain legal recognition of their relationship as equivalent to that of married couples will be repealed by the British National Party.

# PASSED



# Conference Motions

## Wales

## Motion

This Party advocates the strict enforcement of foreign registered private vehicles which are in this country for more than three months, to be registered in this country, have British insurance, pay British road tax and have British MOTs. Foreign drivers in Britain for longer than three months will be committing an offence if they have not taken a British driving test and have not exchanged their foreign driving licence for a British one.

# PASSED

## Eastern

## Motion

The economic resources of the Falkland Islands and South Georgia and their surrounding territorial waters up to the 200 miles limit should be fully developed for the exclusive benefit of Britain with due regard to preserving the natural environment of these areas. The settlement of these extensive territories by greater numbers of British citizens should also be encouraged as economic opportunities increase.

# PASSED



## South East

## Motion

The British National Party approves the banning of all meats and/or food products obtained from non-stunned ritually slaughtered animals in the UK. This ban would include all types of meat thus obtained and also the importation of all such meats and/or the exportation of any live animal to be put to this purpose on foreign shores.

# PASSED

## South West

## Motion

This Party states clearly and unequivocally that we are not only a nationalist party but that we are also a pro constitutional monarchist party. The Party should firmly support the tenets and rights enshrined in the body of Common Law as described in such documents as Magna Carta, the Bill of Rights, the various Treason Acts and the Act of Settlement to the exclusion of all foreign laws. The Party should state wherever it should be needed, that we hold that The Crown, Parliament, and therefore the Judiciary, are responsible to the people, and that by employing the methods of direct democracy, specifically the Citizens' Initiative Referenda, as already provided for in the Party's programme, to bring these bodies to account. Therefore the Party states that we believe in the balance of power enshrined in our laws and customs be maintained and stated as and when necessary.

# DEFEATED

## Chairman's Motion

## Motion

This Annual Conference believes that the threat from the Peak Oil energy crisis and the Credit Crunch can only be met by a co-ordinated national campaign on a level not seen since our collective national effort during the First and Second World Wars. We therefore propose:

- a) The formalisation of the de facto nationalisation of those banks and building societies which have been so badly managed as to require tax-payer bail-outs, and their combination of their assets into a National Reconstruction Bank, regulated to work for the long-term and sustainable overall good of the productive economy.
- b) The income from the NRB, including from renegotiated mortgages with current and future home-owners, plus income from the creation of credit should an independent National Commission conclude that this is a proper role for the NRB, to be used to finance the core of the effort to end Britain's dangerous dependence on imported oil and gas.
- c) Recognising the vital role of the fueling of transport in any energy policy, and the key role of an efficient electrified railway system and revitalised canal network for freight as well as passengers within an integrated transport system, the rail network should be renationalised, with appropriate financial incentives for efficiency and productivity.
- d) That our remaining oil, gas and coal reserves be regarded as assets of our national commonwealth, and be husbanded for the future rather than exploited for short-term profit.
- e) The formal recognition that Britain's efforts to overcome the energy crisis will best be served by a mixed economy on the post-war Japanese model, in which a state-directed Ministry of Finance works in partnership with British university and private research laboratories, and with dynamic private enterprise 'hard industries' and an appropriately regulated and controlled financial services sector.

# PASSED

## East Midlands

## Motion

This Party resolves to abolish the compulsory use of Home Information Packs believing it to be an expense, needless scheme, of no benefit to vendor or purchaser.

# PASSED

## West Midlands


## Motion

This conference confronts the difficulties faced by former members of the armed services in rehabilitating themselves back into the community. In commending the new proposals outlined by the Government concerning assistance to former servicemen, this conference specifically calls for the following additional measures:

- (i) An 18 month programme of support and mentoring designed to assist in the rehabilitation to civilian life.
- (ii) Greater expenditure and resources for military service hospitals enabling them to provide the best level of care in the world.
- (iii) A special tax band depending on service years along with an increased pension provision.
- (iv) A one off allowance of £20,000 for those having served for at least five years.

# PASSED






## March of the Titans: A History of the White Race

By Arthur Kemp

The complete and comprehensive history of the White Race, spanning 350 centuries of tumultuous events. Revealed in this work is the one true cause of the rise and fall of the world's greatest empires - that all civilisations rise and fall according to their ethnic homogeneity and nothing else - a nation can survive wars, defeats, natural catastrophes, but not racial dissolution. A revolutionary new view of history and of the cause of the crisis facing modern Europe, this will change your understanding of history, race and society. *Paperback, 596 pp, £25.25 inc*



## Jihad: Islam's 1,300 Year War on Western Civilisation

By Arthur Kemp

For centuries, violent Muslims have been trying to capture Europe for Islam. Sweeping out from its origin in Saudi Arabia, Islam has expanded through violent conquest into North Africa, the Middle and Near East and very nearly into Europe itself, attacking through Spain, Italy, and the Balkans. Each time, the Islamic hordes were turned back by a united European military effort. Today, the Islamic invasion is not being carried out with sieges, scimitars, or cannon, but rather by immigration, birth rates, and demographics. Given current trends, Europe is set to be overrun before the end of this century. *Paperback, 62 pp, £8.95 inc p&p.*

**Order Hotline: 0871 050 0242**

Online: <http://excalibur.bnp.org.uk>  
PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW - Please make cheques/postal orders payable to "Excalibur"

# EXCALIBUR



# CELTIC CROSS JEWELLERY

Online: <http://excalibur.bnp.org.uk>

PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW

Please make cheques/postal orders payable to "Excalibur"

Visit our Website  
for more!

**Classic Celtic Cross  
Pendant**



**Celtic Cross  
Intricate Design**



**Celtic Cross  
Moone Pendant**



**Viking Rune  
Pendant**



**Viking Intertwined  
Snake Pendant**



**Epona Celtic  
Pendant**



**£9.38 each  
(Inc. p&p)**

**Order Hotline: 0871 050 0242**



# John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

## Saint Obama or Another Uncle Tom?

Some radical right and nationalist commentators may be reading the situation wrongly when they believe that the massive support given to Barack Obama by young white American voters means that the United States is irrevocably destined to be subjected to multiracial rule. The overwhelming majority of those liberal whites rarely mix with blacks beyond the campus gates of the colleges and universities, no more than their parents do who also voted for Obama and who mainly live in gated safe white areas, whether it is in multi-ethnic California or New York State. Their vote was a protest against the policies of George W Bush and the economic meltdown with spiralling unemployment, and who can blame them? Fortunately for the Democrats they chose a candidate with charisma, intelligence and oratorical powers against which the 71-year-old war hero McCain's sole weapon was his patriotism. Yet he still picked up 55% of the white vote to Obama's 43%, plus 95% of the black vote and two thirds of Hispanic and Asian votes and 77% of Jewish votes to Obama.

Note also that in the traditional Southern States, which have the highest black population, the whites voted overwhelmingly for McCain, and with the exception of Virginia the States all remained Republican. Generally Obama did not play upon his 'blackness' (more on this later), but for those in the know the exceptional amount of time he spent in winning over Virginia showed that he was very much aware of it. This was the former capital of the breakaway Southern Confederation and Obama knew that the symbolism of winning the State was in the same manner as Lincoln sending in black Federal troops when its Capital, Richmond, fell in June 1865.

How effective Obama can be as a president will only be seen with the passage of the next twelve months or so. But when America's blacks in particular see that little has changed for them then he will no longer be regarded as Saint Obama but as another Uncle Tom. It really depends upon his backers, which include the remaining banks and finance houses of Wall Street. Much play was made of the two million or more small donations to Obama's war chest via his excellent internet campaign, but more than half his record \$650 million funding came from big business.

His appointment of Rahm Emanuel as his chief of staff is interesting. Much of the global financial collapse can be traced to the granting of cheap mortgages to immigrants and poor blacks, with little chance of paying them back, by the federal mortgage firm Freddie Mac. Emanuel served on its board of directors when scandal was first brewing. According to a complaint filed by the US Securities and Exchange Commission, Freddie Mac misreported profits by billions of dollars in order to deceive investors between the years 2000 and 2002, although Emanuel was not accused of being personally responsible for this. His father, Benjamin M. Emanuel, now living in Chicago, was an active member of "Irgun" or the Stern Gang fighting the then British rule in Palestine.

The BNP, whose website already has more visitors than all other UK political parties added together, can still learn much



*McCain got more votes from whites*

from the brilliant use of the internet by the Obama team. Its mastery of the internet as a campaigning tool was key to his victory. To give a few examples, as well as sending out millions of e-mails to potential Democrat voters, in particular first time voters, his team brought in the co-founder of Facebook, Chris Hughes, and created its own social networking site. This attracted over 1.5 million members who organised themselves into separate activists' groups to ensure the election, if not the deification, of their idol.

Finally, one could not help noticing the joy upon the news announcers faces, both ITV as well as BBC, when after 48 hours devoted to the second coming they were able to announce that Lewis Hamilton, our "black" Formula One racing driver was now world champion - which he fully deserved. The point is that both Lewis Hamilton and Saint Obama have white mothers. Is this another form of racism disregarded by the liberal intelligentsia who apparently consider that only the black blood counts? I am not suggesting that we should return to Kiplingesque terminology of "half castes", or even accusing the parents of having "warped biological instincts", as was once fashionable, but surely "mixed race" would be more accurate?

## Human Rights For Somali Pirates

Playing upon our tradition of once being a great naval power, Herve Morin, the French defence minister, recommended that Britain should take command of the EU's naval mission to tackle the problem of Somali pirates in the Gulf of Aden. Foreign Secretary David Miliband eagerly commented: "Our participation in the Somalia project is an important one."

We must give praise, on this occasion, to Geoffrey Van Orden, Tory defence spokesman in Europe, who said: "The EU is desperate to find military operations that it can stick its flag on in order to give credibility to its defence pretensions."

Naval ships from European countries as part of NATO are already active in the area and are finding that it is difficult to arrest any Somalian pirates in case it infringes their 'human rights'. Captain Andres Breijo, the head of the existing anti-piracy mission said that as Somalia was a "failed state" the West feared that if the pirates were handed over to the Somali authorities they would be tortured or executed. Instead, his task force will only be permitted to keep a watch over merchant ships in the area.

One cannot help but ask where were the human rights of the 13-year-old Somali girl who was stoned to death under Sharia law after being accused of adultery by Islamic militants who control most of the country? Aisha Ibrahim Duhulow had reported being gang raped. The response was to charge her with adultery and take her to a stadium in the southern port city of Kismayo (where some of the pirates come from) packed with a thousand spectators. She was then buried up to her neck and stoned to death. What charming people; what a charming aspect of their religion!

## Afghanistan Campaign "A Half-cocked Con"

Symbolic of the cut-cost approach of the Government when it comes to equipment for our armed forces, the Snatch Land Rover widely used in Iraq and then in Afghanistan has acquired the nickname among our soldiers of 'mobile coffin'. It was designed for peace-keeping missions and its thin-skinned armour will only withstand small arms fire, not roadside bombs, which in the past



*British equipment*





British troops - under  
hugged and under fire

five years have killed more than 30 of our soldiers in Afghanistan. Most notable of these were Cpl Sarah Bryant and three male SAS soldiers who were killed when a roadside bomb destroyed their Land Rover on June 17<sup>th</sup> this year.

On November 1<sup>st</sup> Major Sebastian Morley, the SAS reservist commander in Afghanistan resigned in protest on "chronic under investment" in equipment generally supplied for our forces by the Ministry of Defence.

A week later Major Will Pike, who led a company of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Battalion, the Parachute Regiment in Helmand Province two years ago, said that our forces were sent into Helmand on a "half-cocked" campaign to "con" world opinion

about the country's military strength. How right the Major is, for the BNP has consistently opposed the use of our armed forces in non-British interests in order for the Lib-Lab-Con parties to posture upon the world stage as the bringers of democracy, and the joys of global bankers, to the heathen nations. However, whilst our men and women are giving their lives in these dubious campaigns, they should at least have the very best equipment.

According to a *Daily Telegraph* report (10.11.08) Major Pike said "rival agendas" were being pursued in Afghanistan by different government departments while the forces on the ground were left with inadequate air cover and poor equipments such as the Snatch Land Rover. Having had a spell in Whitehall before leaving the Army, he thought it remained unclear whether the mission was being run by the MoD, the Foreign Office or (this is significant) the Department for International Development.

### Muslim Extremists Radicalising Prisoners

In early October the BBC's lunchtime news for the East of England reported that Muslim prisoners at Whitemoor Prison in Cambridgeshire were complaining that they were being unfairly presented as being extremists. A whey-faced middle-aged white lady was trotted out to give the usual liberal-left argument of support for these 'much-maligned' prisoners.

The same evening, ITV news programme gave a quite different story on the Muslim prisoners at Whitemoor Prison, as did most national newspapers the following morning. What had prompted the news item, and the BBC's interpretation of it, was the findings of a report commissioned by the EU which warned that urgent action was required to stop brainwashing by jailed extremists. Dr Peter Neumann from King's College London said governments should observe prisons more closely in the future as they were likely to become "major hubs" for terrorist recruitment.

Anne Owers, the Chief Inspector of Prisons, said: "There was a perception among officers that some Muslim prisoners operated as a gang and put pressures on non-Muslim prisoners to convert, and on other Muslim prisoners to conform to a strict interpretation of Islamic practice.

I decided to check out with

a prison officer based at Whitemoor who I have known for five years and with two other officers who drink in my local pub and who have had some experience at Whitemoor. Both confirmed that as a third of the 500 inmates are Muslim there are fears that their growing influence meant a serious incident was imminent. Because of lack of support staff are now reluctant to tackle any inappropriate behaviour. All three thought that the BBC's interpretation of what was happening in Whitemoor was a travesty of the truth.

### Lord Mandy and Friends

One of the problems with a monthly journal is that reports are often dated by the time the magazine is published. This is so with the story of the happenings on the "good ship" *Queen K* of Mandy and friends. At the moment it appears that there is no more mileage to be gained from conjectures on the role of George Osborne (Cameron's shadow chancellor) and Andrew Feldman, the Conservative Party's chief fund-raiser, other than that it made the Tories look as sleazy as ever.

More important is that we still do not know the full background of meetings going back at least four years between Mandelson, the three-times Labour cabinet come-back kid, and Oleg Deripaska, the Russian Aluminium oligarch.

Were their Moscow candle-lit suppers indicative of a shared sexual proclivity or just to establish a deal on imports of aluminium in to the EU? Remember that at the time Mandelson was the European Trade Commissioner. Perhaps it was just coincidence that he later cut tariffs on imports of aluminium into the EU, which benefited Mr Deripaska's company Rusal to the tune of tens of millions of pounds.

### Cobbled-together Culture

Perhaps it's my age, but to me the entrants to the Tate's Turner Prize epitomise all that is decadent in modern art and, as someone who finds fascination in the draughtsmanship of some of Picasso's work, I am not saying it is all decadent. This year's contributions gave me no reason to alter this view.

Whether they were videos of sleeping rickshaw drivers, models perched on lavatories, or a women in white wandering in a porcelain gallery, this cobbled-together culture has no meaning.


In its October 12<sup>th</sup> issue, the *Sunday Telegraph* had some interesting replies in its magazine *Seven* to the question: "Is modern art rubbish?" I liked Stephen Fry's reply, which was: *There used to be an elegance of performance and a style about art, which I personally don't see in contemporary work.*

Better still was this from Tom Stoppard: *Skill without imagination is craftsmanship and gives us many useful objects, such as wickerwork picnic-baskets. Imagination without skill gives us modern art.*

And how about this cynicism from one of the high priests of modern art, Andy Warhol: *Art is anything you can get away with.*

### Nothing New

I am indebted to an old friend of mine (an early "frontfighter" of the Mark 1 BNP) for the following quotation. I thought it could have been said by Disraeli in his latter years. But no, read on. "The budget should be balanced, the Treasury should be refilled, public debt should be reduced, the arrogance of officialdom should be tempered and controlled, and the assistance to foreign lands should be curtailed lest we become bankrupt. People must again learn to work, instead of living on public assistance". *Cicero, Rome 55BC.*



Prisons have become fertile  
grounds for Muslims



# Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 1WT, England. E-mail: [identity@bnp.org.uk](mailto:identity@bnp.org.uk)

## LETTER OF THE MONTH

### >> BNP & UKIP

Last week you printed a letter that claimed that UKIP is the only national party that wishes Britain to govern itself by

withdrawing from the European Union.

This is clearly not true, as withdrawal from the European Union and its previous incarnations has been a key British National Party policy since our party's formation in 1982. The purpose of UKIP is to act purely as a 'pressure valve' for the Lib-Lab-Con cartel parties, so that anti-EU votes can be hoovered up then neutralised, thus ensuring the preservation of the status quo.

UKIP were used to good effect in this regard back in the Euro Elections in 2004, in which the British National Party was expected to win a number of seats. In stepped the media to talk up the chances of UKIP, and sure enough, a sufficient number of people were tricked into voting for UKIP instead of the BNP and the Eurocrats in Brussels were allowed to breathe a huge sign of relief.

This was also the effect of UKIP standing in the Ardsley and Robin Hood ward in the local elections this May. They received a minuscule vote, yet considering the BNP finished just 11 votes behind Labour, it is surely fair to say that by taking just a handful of votes from the British National Party, Labour were let back in through the back door.

When the effect of a party's campaign is purely to help the Establishment parties, one has to wonder what such a party is really up to.

Councillor Chris Beverley,  
British National Party, Morley South, Leeds City Council

The original unedited version of this letter can be viewed online at  
[www.morleypatriot.blogspot.com](http://www.morleypatriot.blogspot.com)

(This letter was published in the Morley Observer and Advertiser. It was chosen by the editor as Letter of the Month and the author receives a year's subscription to Identity)

### >> Campaign For Real Education



A number of letters recently published in *Identity* have expressed concern at the politically correct brainwashing which passes for education in our schools. I would urge anyone interested in this vital area to support the Campaign For Real Education. This body is not nationalist, but is certainly traditionalist and patriotic. Although I am not a teacher, I have been a

member of the CRE (shame about the initials!) since my children were small and I have always found its quarterly publication very enlightening.

The Campaign's website address is: [www.cre.org.uk](http://www.cre.org.uk) and it can be contacted at 18 Westlands Grove, York, YO31 1EF or by e-mail at [cred@cre.org.uk](mailto:cred@cre.org.uk)

J. R. Bell  
Slough

### >> Race & IQ


I saw your (John Bean's) recent essays on Black History Month on the BNP website. I thought you might find the attached Invited Editorial that I co-authored for *Medical*

*Hypotheses* reviewing (briefly) the last 30 years of our research on race and IQ.

You might find the world IQ map especially interesting based largely on the work of British psychologist Richard Lynn. Among other things it shows the average IQ of South Asians/North Africans is about 90, which is much higher than for sub-Saharan Blacks but a lot lower than for the indigenous Europeans. So, when BNP spokesmen (I'm thinking of Doc Phil Edwards a year or so ago) suggests the IQ differences are there, they are completely correct.

Professor J. Philippe Rushton  
Department of Psychology, University  
of Western Ontario

### >> Democracy in Decay



I find the central premise of Paul Golding's article 'Democracy in decay' in October's edition to be fundamentally flawed. The reason that British Governments do not take the action necessary for the advancement of the national interest is not a fear of courting unpopularity through the adoption of controversial and difficult long term policies. If it were, then the whole Thatcherite experiment would not have happened. Nor would the wars in Iraq or Afghanistan. Conversely, every political party would be clamouring to introduce populist 'Law and Order' measures such as the restoration of Capital Punishment.

The real reason that governments do not act in the national interest is that the political agenda is set by shadowy elites who operate far away from any democratic control or accountability. Over the last twenty years this agenda has coalesced around three linked ideological positions: 1) It is Internationalist. National sovereignty is diluted through Trans National organisations such as the World Bank, the IMF, the European Union, with the ultimate aim of a One World Government. Strong nation states are the biggest obstacle to this plan and are thus undermined and weakened. 2) It is the Free Market.



**Tony Green**  
Liverpool

**>> Federal Europe**

So should we not be pushing for a

Federal Europe, instead of a United Europe? (How about a European Confederation? - *Editor*)

Ralph Ellis  
Cheshire

## Asylum Seekers Get Disabled Person's House



I wrote to all the old parties about losing my home and not one had the guts to write back to me. If they think I am going to vote for any of them, when they did not want to know me, then they have another think coming. I will only ever vote BNP

**Graham Misson**  
**Leeds**

## >> A Gruesome Quartet

I was amused with the recent news of Tory Shadow Chancellor, George Osborne's interesting meeting with one Oleg Deripaska, the richest man in Russia. The others present on Deripaska's yacht were Nat Rothschild of the banking dynasty and the Lord of Darkness, Peter Mandelson. This gruesome quartet represents everything that is wrong with our country and the world in general. The whiff of money and corruption (if not for personal gain) is unmistakable. If Osborne was soliciting donations for the Tories from a foreign businessman he should be sacked. As to Mandelson, what more is there to say?

Ian Holt  
Gloucester

## >> Nick Was Right

Everything that has happened in the global financial crisis is just as Nick Griffin predicted! In view of this, how would it be to print a financial page giving us the

information in a “quick to read” format? This would make important information stand out instead of being hidden in an article. Given we cannot believe a word of what the papers or BBC say and not a single MP knows the meaning of truth, a guideline from *Identity* would be useful, such as what assurance banks can give us as to the safety of savings and pensions etc.

Obviously Nick cannot be spot on in every case but he is never wrong - if you get my drift! For people who have just come into an inheritance and know nothing about money it would be a godsend and an excuse for me to pass on *Identity*. (If someone likes to put the facts together, just as they come, then I will edit it and present it in an easy-to-read format - *Editor*)

Muriel Lakin  
Stafford

## » Our Credit Crunch Analysis

Many thanks for your lucid analysis of the credit crunch in your Nationalist Notebook. We look forward to more articles and comments on the ongoing financial situation, and suggestions about what could/should be done (though doubtless it won't be).

It would be good if someone could address the recent idea of 'spending our way out of the credit crunch' (Alistair Darling) and going back to Keynesian policies of the Thirties. Is this sensible and workable? What are the alternatives?

(Such spending could be sensible if there was a governmental effort to see that priority was directed towards purchasing British goods and services as much as possible, otherwise it would only worsen the situation - *Editor*)

Robert Mules  
e-mail

## Bankers Feel The Pain



Ho Ho, so the merchant 'bankers' (cockney rhyming slang) are feeling some pain. Do we care? Did they care as British industry was dismembered piece by piece?

Banking and finance is only the lubricant of the economy engine, but we have ended up with a motor that is 90% oil and only 10% engine. It is time to drain some oil and restore the motor's balance.

**Paul Eddison**  
**Northwich**



**W**hat part have conspiracies played in the evolution of political thought and action? Despite the risk that those uttering such sentiments may be thought appropriate candidates for internment in a mental institution, the question is a serious one: it has far more poignancy than those who would reject the idea out of hand may care to admit.

In the United States, the secretive meetings of shadowy bodies such as the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission and the Bilderberger Group are well documented. Indeed, it is not at all far-fetched to describe the deliberations of such groupings as "conspiratorial." More recently we have witnessed the enormous power of the neo-con lobby, prompted by powerful unelected figures such as Paul Wolfowitz and Richard Perle, in formulating American foreign policy towards Iraq and Afghanistan with the pretty disastrous consequences evident for all to see. Both adventures have become prime illustrations of what the dissenting lobby in regard to Vietnam in the 1960s used to with every justification call a "no-win war."

In the United Kingdom we have had a fair share of criminal conspiracies: the Gunpowder Plot to blow up the House of Lords in 1605 during James I's state opening of parliament and the Cato Street conspiracy in 1820, involving a plot to murder four cabinet ministers just before George IV's accession to the throne, readily come to mind.

Much more recently, in 1966, Harold Wilson, then Labour Prime Minister, described the seamen's strike of that year as being promoted by "a tightly knit group of politically motivated men" who organised that crippling stoppage over a six-week period. He was, in fact, referring specifically to the part played by the British Communist Party's (CPGB) industrial organiser, Bert Ramelson, from the party's headquarters in London. Towards the end of his premiership, moreover, Wilson became convinced that there was an MI5 plot to unseat him and reveal him as a Soviet agent (for which allegation there was minimal evidence).

Yet the most far-reaching, damaging and significant conspiracy ("a secret plan or agreement to carry out an illegal or harmful act, specially with political motivation, a plot, the fact of making such plans in secret" - Collins' dictionary) has been how the political elite have succeeded in dragooning the United Kingdom, through unrelenting lies and deception, into what is now known as the European Union.

Right back to the times of Jean Monnet and Robert Schuman in the late 1940s and early 1950s the so-called 'European Construction' was the ultimate ambitious objective: that the countries of Western Europe should eventually come together in

complete political and economic union. The setting up of a common market, itself never intended to be a free trade area but rather a highly regulated internal market protected against external competition by tariff barriers, was regarded as merely a first step along the political pathway, treaty by treaty as dictated by circumstances. It was always moving us in the same direction towards that distant, never clearly defined goal, of a federal European state.

#### CULTURE OF DECEIT

A culture of deceit has permeated all decision-making. Our politicians and civil servants have sought to downplay the significance of "Project Europe" and to present it to the wider public as something essentially different from what it has come to be and, more importantly, what they knew all along it would become.

This, of course, meant that every time a fresh step towards the new state was being contemplated (and witness how almost surreptitiously the European Economic Community became the European Community and ultimately the European Union) British politicians of all the main parties have first expressed opposition as a tactical ploy to much of what their new continental partners were proposing but then, with supine weakness and duplicity, fell in line and in doing so deliberately concealed from the British people just how much they had surrendered.

One of many appalling consequences is the extent to which our entire political system has become enmeshed with that of the European project and how so much of the legislation which rules our lives now emanates from Brussels through regulations and directives. Knowledge revealed from state papers explains the depth of this treachery and the long-term planning behind it. The Public Records Office in Kew has disclosed a number of extremely salutary documents which I have been able to examine.

When Edward Heath, then Minister of State for Europe, visited Professor Hallstein, then President of the European Commission, in November 1960, his report on that meeting noted how Hallstein had emphasised that joining the community was not just a matter of adopting a common tariff but an introduction to a new statehood. It would be necessary, insisted Hallstein, for any new entrant to accept the principle that the EEC was intended to evolve into something much deeper "some form of federal state" which was what the Commission was working towards. (Source: PRO/FO/371/150369.)

A particularly informative document was called the Werner Report. In 1969 the Council of Ministers had commissioned the Prime Minister of Luxembourg, Pierre Werner, to draw up a plan to move the Common Market forward to full economic

# EU's Assault on National

and monetary union. This confidential report began circulating amongst Brussels bureaucrats in October 1970 just as Britain's negotiations to enter the EEC were getting underway.

It was at this time that a secret briefing note to Heath from Con O'Neill, our senior civil servant responsible for Europe, explained that if implemented, Werner's proposals would have enormous political repercussions. O'Neill's memorandum described "a process of fundamental importance, implying progressive development towards the political union ... going well beyond the full establishment of a common market." The Werner plan, it was claimed, would lead to "the ultimate creation of a European Federal State, with a single currency." All the basic instruments of national economic management (fiscal, monetary, income and regional policies) would be transferred to the central federal authority. The Werner Report concluded that the radical transformation of present communities should be accomplished within a decade. (Source: PRO/FCO/30/789.)

In the light of that assessment from Con O'Neill, Edward Heath's shameful behaviour disclosed the true extent of his political dishonesty and total absence of candour towards the British people. Just consider the following. In his White Paper, circulated to every household in the country in June 1971, Heath stated: "There is no question of Britain losing essential sovereignty" - a lie. And then in a television broadcast to mark Britain's entry to the EEC in January 1973, Heath affirmed: "There are some in this country who fear



# Assault by Stealth on National Sovereignty

» PART 1: The Lisbon Treaty marks the final stage in the political elite's full frontal assault on national sovereignty. In this two-part article, Peter Strudwick analyses the implications and the part the BNP can play to regain our land for our own people.

that in going into Europe we shall in some way sacrifice independence and sovereignty. These fears, I need hardly say, are completely unjustified." Again, a lie, and a particularly brazen one with revelatory consequences for the British people insofar as Heath had always managed to project himself as a man of honour and integrity.

As the years have gone by so has the Eurosceptic antipathy to the whole construction been reinforced with incontrovertible justification. British life has become the victim of an implanted alien virus. The conflict between metric and imperial measures is merely one dramatic symbol of this conflict. Others present themselves at all levels of our involvement

with politicised Europe: hideous costs, the destruction of our fishing waters, the corruption of the Brussels bureaucracy (the community's accounts, for instance, have been rejected by the Court of Auditors for three years), and the sheer waste inherent in the Common Agricultural Policy requirement of dumping surpluses in production.

The political dimension, to be sure, is that France and Germany are determined to assume dominance and ultimately hegemony of the continent of Europe. No doubt for them it would be hugely convenient if the history books could be rewritten so that Henry V had lost at Agincourt in 1415 or that Nelson had failed

at Trafalgar in 1805, or that Wellington had not triumphed against Napoleon at Waterloo in 1815. These are painful memories for them, so let Britain, pipsqueak nation, perfidious Albion, disappear, sucked into a province of the giant octopus of Great Europe (or Eurabia as it will come to be in fifty years time unless we collectively institute massive re-emigration of alien populations from our continent).

The sorry condition of politicised Europe, achieved within two generations through the betrayals of Rome, Maastricht, Amsterdam and Nice, just gets worse. The latest abomination, the Treaty of Lisbon, needs patient analysis. Of course the Euro-





fanatics claim it to be something essentially different from the unlamented and failed European Constitution. Paradoxically, it was Ireland in the person of Bertie Ahern, its former leader, that secured agreement on the constitution while chairing the Brussels summit of 2004. Ireland itself had rejected the Nice Treaty of 2001.

The pathway to the Lisbon Treaty began in May 2000 when Joscha Fischer, then German Foreign Minister, called for a European constitution which was duly endorsed by EU leaders in December 2001 at Laeken, near Brussels, in the shape of a "constitution for European citizens." In July 2003, the text of the constitution, written by no less a personage than Valéry Giscard d'Estaing, former French President, was handed over with appropriate pomp to the respective EU nations' governments. The Constitution was signed in Rome in October 2004. Spain ratified it by referendum with a 77 percent 'yes' vote in February 2005 after massive government propaganda unchallenged by a tepid Eurosceptic press, but the French rejected it by 54 percent - 46 percent in May 2005, while the Dutch gave it a 61 percent 'no' vote three days later. Something sturdy about the French and the Dutch! European leaders and the entire bureaucracy broke out in a hot sweat and flat panic. What to do?

Step forward Angela Merkel, German Chancellor. Democracy must not be allowed to get its way. She demanded and obtained an inter-governmental conference to propose a new text. This saw the light of day in October 2007. The new document was, in fact, an old style "amending treaty" rather than a replacement of previous agreements.

The relatively clear language of the 448-article constitution disappeared to be replaced by a legal jungle of cross-references, amendments, sub-texts, deletions

and protocols. The formulators within the bureaucracy had triumphed. No one would be able to digest it. It was to be named the Lisbon Treaty, technically described as: "The Treaty amending the Treaty establishing the European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community." Phew!

Ratification of the Treaty took place in Britain on 18 July 2008, in a cloak of secrecy, only made known to the public on the previous day. The instrument of ratification was signed by the Queen and delivered to the Italian foreign ministry on 20 July.

Not for us the opportunity of a referendum despite the promise of one made on the original constitution at the time of the last election by Blair and later endorsed by Brown. Both have consistently denied (they would, wouldn't they?) that the Treaty is effectively the defunct constitution tidied up, yet there is an abundance of evidence, as I shall come to demonstrate, that essentially it is substantively the same. New Labour knew perfectly well that a referendum, on Lisbon would produce a resounding 'no' vote. Therefore, everything had to be done to scotch such an absurd idea.

Of course, the Irish referendum result should have scuppered the Treaty altogether in that all 27 countries have to ratify it. President Sarkozy of France, King of the Europhiles, in a speech to the European parliament in early July 2008, put his own distinctive stamp on the Irish vote: "Irish voters have plunged the EU into crisis with their rejection of the Treaty. It is Europe's duty to act now."

Sarkozy's brilliant idea is that the Irish should have another referendum and if that goes the wrong way, then another, and if necessary, another. What cynicism! Our own House of Lords has behaved with little less ignominy. It failed miserably on 11

June and then 18 June respectively in first declining to amend the Treaty Bill to provide for a referendum and secondly in refusing to delay ratification so as to debate the implications of the Irish vote.

In the aftermath of Ireland's vote the architects of the European conspiracy lost no time in strengthening their resolve. Giscard d'Estaing told the *Irish Times* newspaper on 21 July that the rejection would not kill the Treaty (as legally it should have done). "We're evolving towards majority voting because if we stay with unanimity we will do nothing."

At least the Czech government showed a degree of independent spirit. It postponed ratifying the Lisbon Treaty while its constitutional court decided whether approving the text was lawful. And the Polish President, Lech Raczuski, refused to ratify the Treaty on 1 July. We must hope that he, too, will stand his ground.

The substantive content of the Lisbon Treaty is what is so crucial for the British people to grasp. It represents the biggest ever transfer of power to the European Union. The number of vetoes given away by the Treaty is more than twice the number given away at Maastricht in 1992 and five times more than in the creation of the single market in 1986.

The Treaty of Rome gave away 38 vetoes, the Single European Act 1986, 12 (and it is worth here remembering that Mrs Thatcher claimed she had never appreciated its true effect); the Maastricht Treaty gave away 30 vetoes; the Amsterdam Treaty of 1998, 24; and the Nice Treaty of 2000, 46, but the Lisbon Treaty surrenders 63 vetoes from British control to European Union control. That is to say, there are 63 areas of political action where our government cannot stop the EU from passing new laws on every facet of our British national life.

The context in which this shameful betrayal has been allowed to happen is there to see for all who wish to examine the texts. Jean-Claude Juncker, Prime Minister of Luxembourg, put the essence of the matter clearly: "Of course there will be significant transfers of sovereignty", (*Daily Telegraph* 3 July 2007) while carefully adding that he would not want to draw the attention of the British people to too much specific detail. But he did give a clear overall perspective: "There is a single legal personality for the EU, the primacy of European law, a new architecture for foreign and security policy; there is an enormous extension in the EU's power; there is the Charter of Fundamental Rights."

**In the second part of this article Peter Strudwick looks at the crucial provisions of the Lisbon Treaty which will formalise Britain's position as a vassal state, the growing influence of the European Court of Justice, and what the British National Party's response to this betrayal must be.**



**NEW**

joey smith

not just about the music

**£11 inc p&p**

*Not Just About the Music* is the stunning new album from Joey Smith - the fastest rising star in the nationalist music scene. It contains 11 original tracks, performed and recorded in GWR's own studio.

Please make cheques payable to 'Great White Records': **PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW** or order online!

# GREAT WHITE

[www.greatwhiterecords.com](http://www.greatwhiterecords.com)
**Order Hotline: 0871 050 0242****£11 inc p&p**

*West Wind* is the best selling album from Great White Records. It contains 11 tracks written by Nick Griffin and arranged and performed by a variety of nationalist artists. This album really is a must have in anyone's collection.

You don't have to send a cheque; you can also order both of these albums by telephone on our new hotline **0871 050 0242** or order online!



» Gordon Clack defines what should be meant by 'Britishness'

# We Are One

# Nation - and Four

Readers of *Identity* may have seen the *Panorama* programme broadcast by BBC1 on 25<sup>th</sup> August and subtitled *True Brits*. It purported to explore "what it means to be British in a day and age of mass immigration and political devolution". It suggested that the idea of Britishness now being touted by the government, especially Gordon Brown has been nullified by the policies that the government has itself promoted, viz multiculturalism and Scottish and Welsh devolution. The agenda of the programme, as befits the BBC, was probably to demonstrate that Britishness is outdated or meaningless. Implicitly, our destiny is to be 'Europeans'.


Jack Straw, as one would expect, made an inept job of defending the government's contradictory policies. He stupidly or disingenuously asserted that Britishness is defined by 'British values'. I've heard the same sort of nonsense from the Conservative David Willetts. 'British values' such as 'tolerance' are largely inventions of the liberal media invented for the present occasion.

Both Straw and the programme's presenter, Vivian White, said that Britishness had in the past been defined by opposition to other peoples. As if it had no content of its own! English identity (to focus only on England) was well defined in its own right, for example, in the reign of Elizabeth I<sup>st</sup> (one of its defining features being the Protestant religion), whether or not we were at war with Spain.

## CONFUSION

This TV programme failed lamentably to present a convincing definition of what constitutes Britishness, and thereby engendered much confusion as it implied that there is no such thing as Britishness. This confusion can be cleared up by defining Britishness in terms of two simple, obvious and fundamental factors: ethnicity and culture. These are what the BNP should plug for all they are worth when confronted by this (factitious) conundrum.

**A. ETHNICITY.** The BNP has already embraced this politically incorrect hot potato, and has been right to do so because it is fundamental. It reserves its membership for members of the European or white race. In this way it announces its intention of



preserving the inheritance of thousands of years of ethnic history. A coffee-coloured Britain would no longer be Britain.

**B. CULTURE.** One good reason for rejecting multiculturalism is that a nation of many cultures is not a nation. The first indicator of culture is probably language, hence one's first (and perhaps one's only) language should be English (with exceptions being made for the small numbers of Welsh and Gaelic speakers). In the past one would have said without hesitation that another indicator was the Christian religion; this characteristic is now eroded. Some of our customs (I am thinking of football) have become universal, but are none the less an English invention, while our culture (both popular and highbrow) has been affected (for the worse in my opinion) by American influence. However, what is uniquely ours is the shared historical experience of our peoples in these islands during several thousand years.

Readers will notice that I slide almost unconsciously between 'British' and 'English'. Of course they are not synonymous, but they overlap. The United Kingdom is one



# GREAT WHITE

[www.greatwhiterecords.com](http://www.greatwhiterecords.com)



Men's t-shirt with GWR logo and record on the front, available in Medium, Large and X-Large

£12.50  
Inc p&p



Men's t-shirt with GWR logo on the front, available in Medium, Large and X-Large

£12.50  
Inc p&p

**NEW**



Women's t-shirt with GWR logo on the front, available in Medium, Large and X-Large

£12.50  
Inc p&p

Order online or you can send a cheque made payable to 'Great White Records' to PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW.

**Order Hotline: 0871 050 0242**



**Donate By Credit or Debit Card - Call 0871 050 0236**



## Help us to Get the Truth Out **Racism** **Cuts Both Ways**

Above you will see just a few of the many people who have died in anti-white racist murders in the UK. Not reported in the media, not spoken about in parliament and ignored by the police - these are the silent victims.

The British National Party want to give them a voice and tell people the shocking truth. Help us in our aim to distribute our *Racism Cuts Both Ways* booklet to thousands of homes in the UK - let's break the silence.

Yes, I want to help the British National Party to break the silence on the sickening wave of anti-white racism sweeping the UK

☐ £10

☐ £25

☐ £1000

☐ £50

☐ £100

☐ Other: .....

Name: .....

Address: .....

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 14, Welshpool SY21 OWE

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less

## Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £17.50 ☐ £35 ☐

Overseas air mail Europe £23.20 ☐ £46.40 ☐

Overseas air mail Middle East, North and South America £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Overseas air mail Australasia, Far East £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

**Please Note:** These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to:  
PO Box 17, Amlwch, LL68 8AB. You can subscribe online at [www.bnp.org.uk](http://www.bnp.org.uk). Photocopies can be used.

### Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £17; 15 - £24; 20 - £31; 25 - £38; 30 - £44; 40 - £58; 50 - £71; 60 - £84;  
80 - £110; 100 - £135

Postage - £2.15 for 5; £3.90 for 10; £7.75 for 15 - 25; £8.80 for 30 - 40;  
£10.00 for 50; £10.80 for 60; £12.55 for 80-100



www.bnp.org.uk

er's Annual Conference wasn't success, it was the most onal and most well run BNP dding on the foundations of erences and the Summer ar's event took things to the

on the Friday with the gala were greeted with beautifully prepared with red, white and and special menus prepared g. This black tie dinner was a yle and sophistication and y last lingering myths about inheads'.

the gala dinner was served arrived to finish off the oth Ways tour. Party k Griffin spoke from the side lling guests about the e campaign and how we'll be re Truth Truck initiatives. g ended with an excellent eech made by both Mark and the two teachers and super- Sedgefield who have both d for their political beliefs. ay was made up of a series d speeches on a number of with the Summer School this vival in order to keep filtering wn to activists and organisers re the party is well trained in olitical and electoral subjects. gate was given a pack with a ng a sixty page conference ng all the seminars and the e following day. Not only that ence was used to unveil the 'Activists' and Organisers' ell as the first in the series of

Voting Members booklets. All of these items, which were free of charge for most attendees, made the weekend great value and ensured no one left empty handed.

The Saturday evening was a chance for everyone to let their hair down and enjoy a number of different musical acts including John Walker's excellent karaoke and the entertainment went on into the early hours of the morning.

The first item on Sunday's agenda was a presentation from the party's independent professional auditor who explained the party's financial transparency to delegates and how the improved financial systems brought in by the treasury team will ensure the safety of members' money.

Next was the conference itself, with all Voting Members having both red and green cards to allow them to vote on future party policy. Each region submitted a motion for the conference which were debated by delegates from all over the UK. This unique right granted to our Voting Members is the reward for both activism, financial commitment and attendance at training seminars. These can be seen on page 18.

The event was closed by a rousing speech by Nick Griffin who talked about the current financial crisis engulfing the world and how the British National Party intend to offer a genuine solution. The speech, and the whole two days in fact, were available to view live on our website in another party first thanks to Simon Bennet and the multimedia team - if you missed it you can view it now by going to [www.bnp.org.uk](http://www.bnp.org.uk)!

# Annual Conference 2008